



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,871	08/18/2005	Thomas Leucht	GAS-009	8789
32628	7590	09/24/2007	EXAMINER	
KANESAKA BERNER AND PARTNERS LLP			DAVIS, JENNA L	
1700 DIAGONAL RD				
SUITE 310			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314-2848			1771	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/24/2007	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/538,871	LEUCHT ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Terrel H. Morris	1771	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Terrel H. Morris. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Eliot Malamd. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 20 September 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: Claim 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Marx and Nozaki.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the limitations of the claims, the similarity of the flame retardant compositions of the prior art to that claimed. Pointed out how neither reference discusses intumescing materials. Discussed how the rejection of the examiner seems to recite a motivation found only in applicant's Spec and how applicant's spec indicates that the presence of the melamine is key to producing the desired effect of intumescence in the claimed system.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Terrel Morris/  
SPE, 1771

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required